

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/895,654	06/28/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0841	6772	
7590 03/16/2005		EXAMINER			
Christopher W. Kennerly			RIMELL, SAMUEL G		
Baker Botts L.L.P. Suite 600 2001 Ross Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2165		
Dallas, TX 75	5201		DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/895,654	TENORIO, MANOEL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sam Rimell	2165				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			SAM R PRIMARY E				
Attachment							
2) 🔲 Notica 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		·)			

Preliminary Notes: Applicant's response of 11/30/04 appears to include a request for clarification, given that the application was previously assigned to a different examiner and subject to different action. The following clarifications are herewith submitted:

- 1) The examiner of record is Sam Rimell, Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165.
- 2) The restriction requirement has been vacated.
- 3) Applicant is required to respond to the present office action.
- 4) This office action is non-final.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tenorio et al. (U.S. Patent 6,708,161).

The reference patent to Tenorio et al. is applicable to the claims of the present invention because it has an earlier effective filing date (9/26/00) as well as a different inventive entity (a different set of inventors). When not all of the inventors are the same between the reference patent and the application, the inventive entity is different, and rejections under 35 USC 102(e) may become applicable (See MPEP 2136.04).

<u>Claim 1:</u> FIG. 1, Global Content Directory (42) functions as the claimed mapping module. As described at col. 6, lines 12-28, the source schema is the relational database (32) operated by a seller. The target schema is the hierarchical directory shown in FIG. 2.

Art Unit: 2165

The source schema includes a product ontology, which is a set of product classes (classes of products, such as "Pens") and product attributes ("product data associated with a product" at col. 5, lines 14-15. For example "ball-point" or "felt-tip" are product attributes of pens).

The target schema (FIG. 2) includes product classes (48, 50) in the hierarchy and product attributes (the descriptors "Indirect Material" and "Direct Material").

The classes of the source schema and target schema are associated by the use of pointers (col. 6, lines 15-21).

The generated product ontology is the generated list of classes, such as (48), (50), (58), and (60a-60c) shown in FIG. 2.

<u>Claim 2</u>: Column 6, lines 58-64 describe a user making a selection of a class, which is user input indicating a target class that will become associated with a source class in the seller database. The pointers in the system (col. 6, lines 15-21) will then associate the target class to the source class and return search results into the target schema.

<u>Claim 3:</u> FIG.2 illustrates a graphical representation of the taxonomies of the source and target schemas presented together. For example, a target class, such as "Indirect Material" in the target schema is associated with a source class deriving from a seller's database, such as "Pens", that corresponds to pen products in the seller's database. This information is communicated to the user via the graphical interface of FIG. 2.

<u>Claim 4:</u> Classes such as "ball-point", "felt-tip" and "roller-ball" are leaf classes (leaf nodes) in the schema.

<u>Claim 5</u>: Target classes such as "Indirect Material" (50) and source classes, such as "Pens" will intersect each other in the sense that they are linked by pointers (col. 6, lines 12-28).

Art Unit: 2165

These pointers will lead to the generation of product ontologies, such as that shown in FIG. 2. The target classes and source classes can also be viewed as intersecting each other by reason that they are related to each other on a hierarchical tree.

Claim 6: FIG. 2 illustrates a parent class, such as "Industry Standard Schema" (46) and a target class, such as "Direct Material" (48). The parent class and target will intersect each other in the sense that they are related to each other on a hierarchical tree.

<u>Claim 7:</u> The seller ontology is the list of products in the seller's databases (col. 6, line 18), such as ball-point pens or felt-tip pens. Attributes for such products would be, for example, "ball-point" or "felt-tip". The seller ontologies are integrated into the hierarchy of FIG. 2 (items 60a-60b).

Claim 8: Pointers are used to point to products in the seller's databases (col. 6, lines 12-28). The pointers will create associations between target classes, such as "Indirect Material" and classes of products in the seller's databases, such as "Pens".

Claim 9: See remarks for claim 1.

Claim 10: See remarks for claim 2.

Claim 11: See remarks for claim 3.

Claim 12: See remarks for claim 4.

Claim 13: See remarks for claim 5.

Claim 14: See remarks for claim 6.

Claim 15: See remarks for claim 7.

Claim 16: See remarks for claim 8.

Claim 17: See remarks for claim 1.

Art Unit: 2165

<u>Claim 18:</u> See remarks for claim 2.

Claim 19: See remarks for claim 3.

Claim 20: See remarks for claim 4.

Claim 21: See remarks for claim 5.

Claim 22: See remarks for claim 6.

Claim 23: See remarks for claim 7.

Claim 24: See remarks for claim 8.

Claim 25: See remarks for claim 1.

Claim 26: See remarks for claim 1-3 and 8.

Claim 27: See remarks for claims 1-3 and 8.

Claim 28: See remarks for claims 1-3 and 8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (571) 272-4084.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2165